

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONHRMATION NO
10/617,196	07/09/2003	Garrett Howard DeVlieg	030048071US1	3519
25096	7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP			BAREFOOT	, GALEN L
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 124			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			3644	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/_		Application No.				
(Application No.	Applicant(s)			
11	Office Action Summary	10/617,196 DEVLIEG, GARRETT HOWAF				
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Galen L Barefoot	3644			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	lears on the cover sneet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	av 2004				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15-51</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>33-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15-32 and 37-51</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) <u>15-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers					
9)[7]	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110/s	a) (d) or (f)			
a)[All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applica	tion No			
	application from the International Bureau		· ·			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
A44-	4.					
Attachment	• •	A) □ 1-4	(DTO 440)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/2003,5/17/200.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/617,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation with II having pedal pressure control.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 1. During a telephone conversation with Mr Wetchkin on 9/30/2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 15-32,37-51. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 33-36 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 3644

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Page 3

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 15-32,37-51 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,604,708. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broader but the claims of the prior patent are encompassed within the scope thereof.

4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Galen L Barefoot whose telephone number is 703-308-2567.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703-305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

November 1, 2004

1113.

Galen Barefoot
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 3644